|  | Application No            | o. Applicant(s)                 |            |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 10/768,083                | KIM ET AL.                      |            |
|  | Examiner                  | Art Unit                        |            |
|  | Christopher Ro            | Dee 1756                        |            |
| All Participants: Status of Application:   |                           |                                 |            |
| (1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .   | (3)                       |                                 |            |
| (2) <u>Michael Bush</u> .  | (4)                       |                                 |            |
| Date of Interview: 21 August 2006  | Time:                     | -                               |            |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   |                           |                                 |            |
| Part I.  |                           |                                 |            |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None   |                           |                                 |            |
| Claims discussed:  |                           |                                 |            |
| Prior art documents discussed: None  |                           |                                 |            |
| Part II.   |                           |                                 |            |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:<br>See Continuation Sheet  |                           |                                 |            |
| Part III.  |                           |                                 |            |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
|  |                           |                                 |            |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (   | Applicant/Applicant's Rep | presentative Signature – if app | oropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel and requested permission to restore missing text to claim 11, specifically the second line from original claim 11 was inadvertnetly left out of the copied claim. Counsel agreed with an Examiner's amendment to bring the claim back to its original form..